

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address C. (MMISSES) SERC F PATENTS AND TEADEMARKS Maximuton D. (2024) www.uspco.pov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,815 11/13/2001		Pingfan P. Wu	980.1078US01	3069	
22865	7590 02 12 2003				
ALTERA LAW GROUP, LLC			EXAMINER		
SUITE 100	EST PARKWAY		BARBER, THERESE		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55344-7704			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
			DATE MAILED: 02.12.2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	-	Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Summary		10/010,815		WU ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Therese Barber	1	2882				
The N	MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Repl								
THE MAILIN - Extensions of the after SIX (6) Mic. - If the period for If NO period for Failure to reply - Any reply receives	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, yed by the Office later than three months after the mailing erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory mir ill apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to be become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊡ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	lovember 2001 .						
2a)☐ This a	action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of (
	Chaim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	s) is/are objected to.s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	loction roquirom	ont					
Application Par		section requirem	en.					
	ecification is objected to by the Examiner	.						
	wing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		ed to by the Exar	miner.				
Applic	cant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The pro	posed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approve	ed b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Ackno	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)□ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) 🗌 Th	e translation of the foreign language pro ledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional applicati	on has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Refe	erences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-44, 48, and 52-60, drawn to the optical devices with bi-directional, polarization-dependent paths, classified in class 385, subclass 11.
 - II. Claims 45-47 and 49-51, drawn to the optical communication systems, classified in class 359, subclass 156.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions in Group I and Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as devices that can split the light signals in response to the polarization of the light signals and the intermediate product can be utilized in systems besides communications systems and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

In the event that the applicant elects Group I, a further election of species as set forth below is required:

Species 1: Figure 5. The subject matter that is the patentably distinct species in figure 5 is the transmissive fiber optic polarization separator/combiner that utilizes a birefringent material and a prism.

Species 2: Figure 6. The subject matter that is the patentably distinct species in figure 6 is the transmissive fiber optic polarization separator/combiner that utilizes a Wollaston prism.

Species 4 Figure 8. The subject matter that is the patentably distinct species in figure 8 is the reflective fiber optic polarization separator/combiner that utilizes a birefringent material and a faceted reflector.

material and a polarization rotator.

Species 5 Figure 10. The subject matter that is the patentably distinct species in figure 10 is the transmissive fiber optic polarization separator utilizing a faceted birefringent beam separator.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/010,815

Art Unit: 2882

Page 5

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Therese Barber whose telephone number is (703) 306-0205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6 00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-4857 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

tb February 4, 2003

4